## Why do I have to add 1 to the color index when I set it as the hbrBackground of a window class?

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20140305-00

March 5, 2014



Raymond Chen

Our <u>scratch program</u> sets the background color to **COLOR\_WINDOW** by setting the class background brush as follows:

```
wc.hbrBackground = (HBRUSH)(COLOR_WINDOW + 1);
```

What's with the +1 ?

Okay, first of all, let's backtrack a bit.

The real first question is, "What's the deal with taking an integer ( COLOR\_WINDOW ) and casting it to a HBRUSH and expecting anything sane to happen?"

The window manager wants to provide multiple ways of setting the class background brush.

- 1. The application can request that no automatic background drawing should occur at all.
- 2. The application can request custom background drawing and provide that custom drawing by handling the WM\_ERASEBKGND message.
- 3. The application can request that the background be a specific brush provided by the application.
- 4. The application can request that the background be a specific system color.

The first three cases are easy: If you don't want automatic background drawing, then pass <u>the</u> <u>hollow brush</u>. If you want custom background drawing, then pass <u>NULL</u> as the brush. And if you want background drawing with a specific brush, then pass that brush. It's the last case that is weird.

Now, if **RegisterClass** were being invented today, we would satisfy the last requirement by saying, "If you want the background to be a system color, then use a system color brush like this:

wc.hbrBackground = GetSysColorBrush(COLOR\_WINDOW);

System color brushes match the corresponding system color, so this sets your background to whatever the current system window color is."

But just as <u>NASA couldn't use the Space Shuttle to rescue the Apollo 13 astronauts</u>, the **RegisterClass** function couldn't use **GetSysColorBrush** for class brushes: At the time **RegisterClass** was designed, system color brushes had not yet been invented yet. In fact, they won't have been invented for over a decade.

Therefore, **RegisterClass** had to find some way of smuggling an integer inside a pointer, and the traditional way of doing this is to say that certain numerically-small pointer values are actually integers in disguise. We've seen this with <u>the HINSTANCE returned by Shell-</u> <u>Execute</u>, with <u>the MAKEINTATOM macro</u>, with the <u>MAKEINTRESOURCE</u> / IS\_INTRESOURCE macro pair, and with the second parameter to the <u>GetProcAddress</u> function. (There are plenty of other examples.)

The naïve solution would therefore be to say, "Well, if you want a system color to be used as the brush color, then just cast the COLOR\_XXX value to an HBRUSH, and the Register-Class function will recognize it as a smuggled integer and treat it as a color code rather than an actual brush."

And then you run into a problem: The numeric value of COLOR\_SCROLLBAR is zero. Casting this to a HBRUSH would result in a NULL pointer, but a NULL brush already means something else: Don't draw any background at all.

To avoid this conflict, the **RegisterClass** function artificially adds 1 to the system color number so that none of its smuggled integers will be mistaken for **NULL**.

<u>Raymond Chen</u>

Follow

