Can CoCreateGuid ever return GUID_NULL?

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20140326-00

March 26, 2014



Raymond Chen

A customer asked whether the CoCreateGuid function can ever return GUID_NULL. Their code uses **GUID_NULL** for special purposes, and it would be bad if that was ever returned as the GUID for an object. "Can we assume that CoCreateGuid never returns GUID_NULL? Or should we test the return value against GUID_NULL, and if it is equal, then call Co-CreateGuid and try again?" Some people started running CoCreateGuid a bunch of times and observing that it was spitting out type 4 GUIDs, which will always have a 4 in the version field. Then other people started wondering whether the use of Algorithm 4 was contractual (it isn't). Then still other people went back to read the RFCs which cover UUIDs to see whether those documents provided any guidance. And then I had to step in and stop the madness. It is very easy to show that any UUID generator which generates **GUID_NULL** has failed to meet the requirement that the generated UUID be unique in space and time: If it's equal to GUID_NULL, then it isn't unique! The uniqueness requirement is that the generated GUID be different from any other valid GUID. And if it generated GUID_NULL, then it wouldn't be different from GUID_NULL! (And GUID_NULL is a valid GUID, specifically identified in RFC4122 section 4.1.7.) If you're so worried about CoCreateGuid generating a duplicate GUID_NULL, why aren't you worried about CoCreateGuid generating a duplicate IID_IUnknown or GUID_DEVCLASS_1394 or any of the other GUIDs that have already been generated in the past? In other words, no valid implementation of CoCreateGuid can generate GUID_NULL because the specification for the function says that it is not allowed to generate any GUID that has been seen before.

One of my colleagues cheekily remarked, "And even if it did generate GUID_NULL for some reason, uniqueness would require that it do so only once! (So you should try to force this bug to occur in test, and then you can be confident that it will never occur in production.)"

Raymond Chen

Follow

