I marked my parameter as [optional], so why do I get an RPC error when I pass NULL?

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20140919-00

September 19, 2014



Raymond Chen

Consider the following interface declaration in an IDL file:

```
// Code in italics is wrong
interface IFoo : IUnknown
{
    HRESULT Cancel([in, optional, string] LPCWSTR pszReason);
};
```

The idea here is that you want to be able to call the Cancel method as pFoo->Cancel(NULL) if you don't want to provide a reason.

If you try this, you'll find that the call sometimes fails with error ox800706F4, which decodes to <a href="https://hreen.com/

The optional attribute does not mean what you think it means. To a C or C++ programmer, an "optional" pointer parameter typically means that it is valid to pass NULL / nullptr as the parameter value. But that's not what it means to the IDL compiler.

To the IDL compiler, optional parameters are <u>hints to the scripting engine that the parameter should be passed as VT_ERROR/DISP_E_PARAMNOTFOUND</u>. The attribute is meaningful only when applied to parameters of type <u>VARIANT</u> or <u>VARIANT</u>*.

What you actually want is the unique attribute. This somewhat confusingly-named attribute means "The parameter is allowed to be a null pointer." Therefore, the interface should have been written as

```
interface IFoo : IUnknown
{
    HRESULT Cancel([in, unique, string] LPCWSTR pszReason);
};
```

At the lowest level in the marshaler, pointer parameters are marked as <u>ref</u>, <u>unique</u>, or <u>ptr</u>.

ref parameters may not be null, whereas <u>unique</u> and <u>ptr</u> parameters are allowed to be null. <u>Larry Osterman</u> explained to me that the default for interface pointers (anything

derived from <code>IUnknown</code>) is <code>unique</code> and the default for all other pointer types is <code>ref</code> . Therefore, if you want to say that <code>NULL</code> is a valid value for a non-interface pointer parameter, you must say so explicitly by annotating the parameter as <code>[unique]</code> .

It's probably too late to change the behavior of MIDL to reject the <code>[optional]</code> tag on non-VARIANT parameters because in the decades since the attribute was introduced, it's probably being used incorrectly approximately twenty-five bazillion times, and making it an error would break a lot of code. (Even if you just made it a warning, that wouldn't help because a lot of people treat warnings as errors.)

Exercise: Why is the RPC_X_NULL_REF_POINTER error raised only sometimes?

Raymond Chen

Follow

