Does the CLR really call ColnitializeEx on the first call to unmanaged code, even if you don't deal with COM at all and are just calling native code via p/invoke?

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20150316-00

March 16, 2015



Raymond Chen

Some time ago, <u>I called out</u> this part of the documentation regarding managed and unmanaged threading:

On the first call to unmanaged code, the runtime calls **CoInitializeEx** to initialize the COM apartment as either an MTA or an STA apartment. <u>You can control the type of apartment created</u> by setting the System.Threading.ApartmentState property on the thread to **MTA**, **STA**, or **Unknown**.

Commenter T asks, "<u>Does it do this even if you don't deal with COM at all and call native</u> <u>code through a P/Invoke</u>?"

Well, the documentation says it does, and we can confirm with an experiment:

```
using System.Runtime.InteropServices;
class Program
{
public static void Main()
 {
  var thread = new System.Threading.Thread(
    () => {
  System.Console.WriteLine("about to p/invoke");
  GetTickCount();
  });
  thread.Start();
  thread.Join();
 }
 [DllImport("kernel32.dll")]
 extern static uint GetTickCount();
}
```

Run this program with a breakpoint on **CoInitializeEx** .

First breakpoint is hit with this stack:

rax=00007ffebc529b70 rbx=00000000007c6100 rcx=0000000000000000 rip=00007ffebc529b70 rsp=00000000056f038 rbp=00000000056f0b0 r11=00000000000000037 r12=00000000000000000 r13=00000000000000000 combase!CoInitializeEx clr!Thread::SetApartment clr!SystemDomain::SetThreadAptState clr!SystemDomain::ExecuteMainMethod clr!ExecuteEXE clr!_CorExeMainInternal clr!CorExeMain mscoreei!CorExeMain MSCOREE!CorExeMain_Exported KERNEL32!BaseThreadInitThunk ntdll!RtlUserThreadStart

This call is initializing the main thread of the process. The flags passed to this first call to COINITIALIZEEX are O, which means that the default threading model of COINIT_MULTI-THREADED is used.

The next time the breakpoint hits is with this stack:

```
rip=00007ffebc529b70 rsp=000000001a6af9a8 rbp=000000001a6afa20
r8=00000001a6af948 r9=000000000000000 r10=000000007f0340
r11=00000000007f0328 r12=0000000000000000 r13=0000000000000000
combase!CoInitializeEx
clr!Thread::SetApartment
clr!Thread::DoExtraWorkForFinalizer
clr!WKS::GCHeap::FinalizerThreadWorker
clr!ManagedThreadBase_DispatchInner
clr!ManagedThreadBase_DispatchMiddle
clr!ManagedThreadBase_DispatchOuter
clr!WKS::GCHeap::FinalizerThreadStart
clr!Thread::intermediateThreadProc
KERNEL32!BaseThreadInitThunk
ntdll!RtlUserThreadStart
```

From the name FinalizerThreadStart, this is clearly the finalizer thread.¹

Next.

Okay, this looks like it's <u>kicking off</u> a new thread. I inferred this from the presence on the stack of the function which is deviously named <u>KickOffThread</u>.

And the flags passed to this call to **CoInitializeEx** are 0, which once again means that it defaults to MTA.

There, we have confirmed experimentally that, at least in this case, the implementation matches the documentation.

That the implementation behaves this way is not surprising. After all, the CLR does not have insight into the GetTickCount function. It does not know *a priori* whether that function will create any COM objects. After all, we could have been p/invoking to SHGetDesktop-Folder, which does use COM. Given that the CLR cannot tell whether a native function is going to use COM or not, it has to initialize COM just in case.

¹ Or somebody who is trying to mislead us into thinking that it is the finalizer thread. I tend to discount this theory because <u>as a general rule, code is not intentionally written to be impossible to understand</u>.

Raymond Chen

Follow

