Why does PathlsUNC say that paths that begin with \\?\ are not UNCs?

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20160324-00

March 24, 2016



Raymond Chen

An application vendor opened a bug with the product team saying that the PathIsUNC <u>function</u> was returning incorrect values in Windows Vista. Specifically, the <u>PathIsUNC</u> function was returning FALSE for strings that begin with \\?\, whereas Windows XP returned TRUE.

The answer is, "Yes, the PathIsUNC function returns FALSE for strings that begin with \\?\ . Because they aren't UNCs."

There was a bug in the Windows XP version of the PathIsUNC function where it reported that anything that began with two backslashes was a UNC, even if it wasn't. In particular, paths that begin with \\?\ are not UNCs, unless they happen to begin with \\?\UNC\. The bug was fixed in Windows Vista so it returned TRUE only if the \\?\ is followed by UNC\.

Fortunately, the application compatibility team had a ready answer for this: The Emulate-OldPathIsUNC compatibility shim returns the PathIsUNC function to its old behavior that is bug-for-bug compatible with Windows XP.

Raymond Chen

Follow

