## **The Alpha AXP, part 6: Memory access, basics**

**devblogs.microsoft.com[/oldnewthing/20170814-00](https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20170814-00/?p=96806)** 

August 14, 2017



The Alpha AXP has only one memory addressing mode: Indexed indirect.

```
; load memory to register
LDL Ra, disp16(Rb) ; result is sign-extended to 64 bits
LDQ Ra, disp16(Rb)
; store register to memory
STL Ra, disp16(Rb)
STQ Ra, disp16(Rb)
```
In all cases, the address of the memory is  $(int16_t)$  disp16 + Rb  $.1$  As we learned when we discussed [integer constants,](https://blogs.msdn.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20170809-00/?p=96785) the displacement is a 16-bit signed value, so it has a reach of ±32KB. By convention, a displacement of zero can be omitted.

The  $\perp$  suffix loads/stores a 32-bit value (long) and the  $\alpha$  suffix loads/stores a 64-bit value (quad).

If the memory address is not suitably aligned, then an alignment fault is generated. By default, Windows NT handles the alignment fault by emulating the unaligned load for you, then resuming execution. However, this is a ridiculously huge performance penalty, so you don't want to make it a habit.

Note the absence of byte and word memory access.² You'll have to construct those yourself. And as noted above, you'll also construct unaligned memory access yourself if you know what's good for you.

The special  $\Box$  versions of the load and store instructions are useful for constructing byte access, word access, and unaligned memory access.

LDQ\_U Ra, disp16(Rb) STQ\_U Ra, disp16(Rb)

These special unaligned instructions are available only for quads. They ignore the bottom 3 bits of the effective address when calculating the address to load from. For example, if the current value of the *t2* register were 0x1234 , then the instruction

 $LDQ_U$  t1,  $3(t2)$ 

would take the value in the *t*2 register, add 3 to it, resulting in  $0 \times 1237$ , and then round the value down to the nearest multiple of 8, producing 0x1230 . It would then load the 8 byte value starting at 0x1230 and put into the *t1* register.

There are a set of extra computational instructions to assist in taking apart and reassembling integers from their containing quads. They are the extraction, insertion, and masking opcodes.

Here goes extraction:

```
EXTBL Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint8_t)(Ra >> (Rb/4b * 8 % 64))EXTWL Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint16_t)(Ra) > (Rb/4b * 8 % 64)EXTLL Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint32_t)(Ra \gg (Rb/4b * 8 % 64))EXTQL Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint64_t)(Ra \gg (Rb/4b * 8 % 64))EXTWH Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint16_t)(Ra \ll ((64 - Rb/4b * 8) % 64))EXTLH Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint32_t)(Ra \ll ((64 - Rb/4b * 8) % 64))EXTQH Ra, Rb/#b, Rc ; Rc = (uint64_t)(Ra \ll (64 - Rb/4b * 8) % 64)
```
These are weird to write out in formulas, but they are easy to explain. You want to read these mnemonics as "extract byte/word/long/quad low/high". For example, EXTWL is "extract word low".

To perform the extraction, you shift the first source parameter right (if extracting low) or left (if extracting high) by the number of bytes controlled by the second parameter. (More precisely, specified by the least significant 3 bits of the second parameter.) And then you extract the low-order byte/word/long/quad from the result.

Note that these are fully 64-bit instructions, so there is no sign extension in the EXTLx instructions.

For example, if  $t1$  is  $\sqrt{0 \times 7531}$ , then

EXTWH t0, t1, t2

goes like this: The shift amount is 7 bytes because the least significant three bits of *t1* are 001 , and we are extracting the high part. So take the value in *t0*, shift it left 56 bits (7 bytes), and then extract the least significant 16 bits, zero-extending the result to 64 bits.

The way to think of these instructions is that the extract a byte, word, long, or quad from a 128-bit value. The "low" version extracts the value from the least-significant 64 bits of the 128-bit value, and the "high" version extracts the value from the most-significant 64 bits of the 128-bit value. Both instructions position the extracted value so the two pieces can be "or"d together.

```
high part low part
--------- ---------
ABCD EFGH IJKL MNOP -- 16-byte value
    AAA A -- 4 bytes extracted at this position
   EXTLH EXTLL
    FGH0 000I
```
Note that this is *not* how the instructions actually operate, because there are edge conditions when the shift amount is an exact multiple of 8, but it's a nice way to help remember how the instructions work.

Anyway, with the extraction instruction, we can load a single byte of memory, even if not aligned:

LDQ\_U t1, (t0) EXTBL t1, t0, t1

To see how this works, let's number the bytes in a 64-bit value from least significant to most significant, zero through seven.

If *to* were  $\sqrt{0 \times 9731}$ , then the LDQ\_U loads 8 bytes of memory from  $\sqrt{0 \times 9730}$ . The least significant byte (index 0) contains the value of the byte 0x9730 , and the next-leastsignificant byte (index 1) contains the value of the byte 0x9731 , which is the one we want. And by an amazing non-coincidence, the EXTBL instruction selects the byte at index  $0 \times 9731 \& 7 == 1$ , which is exactly the byte we want.

Loading signed data is a bit more work because you have to sign-extend the result. The simple way of doing this is to add

SLL t1, #56, t1 ; shift byte all the way up to index 7 SRA t1, #56, t1 ; shift it all the way back to index 0 ; but with sign extension

However, DEC recommends this alternative four-instruction sequence:

```
LDQ_U t1, (t0) ; load the entire enclosing quad
LDA t2, 1(t0) ; sneaky trick to extract at index 7
EXTQH t1, t2, t1 ; shift the desired byte into index 7
SRA t1, #56, t1 ; signed shift right to move to index 0
```
The basic idea here is to extract the desired byte into index 7 and then use a signed shift right to shift it into index 0 with sign extension. If we hadn't added 1 to *t0*, then the byte we wanted would have shifted off the end of of the register (into imaginary index 8);<sup>3</sup> adding 1 means that the EXTQH will shift one fewer index, so that instead of shifting into imaginary index 8, the value we want ends up in index 7.

I'm guessing that DEC recommends the latter sequence because it has a slightly shorter dependency chain, but at the cost of an extra register.

Of course, if you know ahead of time what the alignment of *t0* is, then you can avoid having to calculate the shift amount in *t*2 and could just hard-code ( $\tau$  *to* + 1) % 8 as the second parameter to the EXTQH .

The standard sequence for loading an aligned word is

LDQ\_U t1, (t0) ; load the entire enclosing quad EXTWL t1, t0, t1 ; extract the appropriate word, zero-extended

And if you want sign extension, you use the same trick:

LDQ\_U t1, (t0) ; load the entire enclosing quad LDA t2, 2(t0) ; sneaky trick to extract at index 6+7 EXTQH t1, t2, r1 ; shift the desired bytes to index  $6+7$ SRA t1, #48, t1 ; signed right shift to move to index 0+1

These sequences are designed for properly word-aligned addresses. But what if it's not correctly-aligned?

Let's find out. Suppose that *to* is  $\sqrt{0 \times 1357}$ . Let's say that the word pointed to by *to* is XXYY, with YY stored at 0x1357 and XX stored at 0x1358. But we erroneously treat it as an aligned address and execute the standard aligned word load sequence:



Oops, we read only the least significant byte of the value; the  $\chi\chi$  was not loaded at all.

If you sit down and work it out, the aligned word load sequence produces the desired results for most unaligned addresses, but if the word crosses a quad boundary, the code executes without any faults but produces incorrect results. This is worse than crashing!

On the Alpha AXP, it is absolutely essential that you accurately declare the fact that a pointer may point to misaligned data. If you forget, then depending on the size of the data you are accessing and the precise nature of the misalignment, you might get away with it, or you might crash, or (worst case) you will proceed with incorrect data.

So far, we've been looking only at loading aligned bytes and words. Next time, we'll look at unaligned accesses, as well as storing bytes and words, as well as storing unaligned longs and quads.

<sup>1</sup> Note that the base register must be a general-purpose register. This means no PC-relative addressing, because the program counter is not a general-purpose register. This is another strike against [storing constants in the code stream.](https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/#comment-1306055)

² Later versions of the Alpha AXP added instructions for aligned byte and word access, but Windows NT didn't require that you had one of those newer processors. Consequently, you were unlikely to encounter them in practice unless you had code that did processor feature detection and had separate code paths for processors with and without support for byte and word access instructions.

However, the [proof-of-concept Alpha AXP 64-bit edition of Windows](https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/2008.08.windowsconfidential.aspx) did require a processor that supported the byte and word memory access instructions, so you would see those instructions if you had to debug the Alpha AXP 64-bit verison of Windows. (And by "you" I mean "me," because that version of Windows never shipped.)

³ Well, except that if *t0* had been an exact multiple of 8, then the EXTQH wouldn't have shifted anything at all. But you can work it out with pencil and paper and convince yourself that the right thing happens even if *t0* is an exact multiple of 8.

[Raymond Chen](https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/author/oldnewthing)

**Follow**

