## **Creating a co\_await awaitable signal that can be awaited multiple times, part 3**

**devblogs.microsoft.com**[/oldnewthing/20210303-00](https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20210303-00/?p=104922)

March 3, 2021



Last time, we [created an awaitable signal that can be awaited multiple times,](https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20210302-00/?p=104918) but noted that it took kernel transitions a lot. Let's implement the entire thing in user mode.

```
struct awaitable_event
{
  void set() const { shared->set(); }
  auto await_ready() const noexcept
  {
    return shared->await_ready();
  }
  auto await_suspend(
    std::experimental::coroutine_handle<> handle) const
  {
    return shared->await_suspend(handle);
  }
  auto await_resume() const noexcept
  {
    return shared->await_resume();
  }
private:
  struct state
  {
    std::atomic<bool> signaled = false;
    winrt::slim_mutex mutex;
    std::vector<std::experimental::coroutine_handle<>> waiting;
    void set()
    {
      std::vector<std::experimental::coroutine_handle<>> ready;
      {
        auto guard = winrt::slim\_lock\_guard(mutex);signaled.store(true, std::memory_order_relaxed);
        std::swap(waiting, ready);
      }
      for (auto&& handle : ready) handle();
    }
    bool await_ready() const noexcept
    { return signaled.load(std::memory_order_relaxed); }
    bool await_suspend(
      std::experimental::coroutine_handle<> handle)
    {
      auto guard = winrt::slim\_lock\_guard(mutex);if (signaled.load(std::memory_order_relaxed)) return false;
      waiting.push_back(handle);
      return true;
    }
    void await_resume() const noexcept { }
  };
```

```
std::shared_ptr<state> shared = std::make_shared<state>();
};
```
The awaitable\_event contains a shared\_ptr to an internal state object, which is where all the work really happens. Operations on the awaitable\_event are all forwarded to the state object, so all of the public methods are relatively uninteresting. The excitement happens in the state object, so let's focus on that.

To wait for the awaitable\_event , we begin with await\_ready , which returns whether the event is already signaled. If it is already signaled, then await ready returns true, which bypasses the suspension entirely. An event that represents "initialization complete" will spend nearly all of its time in the signaled state, and this short-circuit gives an optimized path for the compiler so it doesn't have to spill register variables in the case that the event is already signaled.

If the event is not signaled, then we get to await\_suspend . We take the lock and check a second time whether the event has been signaled. If so, then we return false meaning "I reject the suspension. Keep running."1

On the other hand, if the event is truly not signaled, then we push the coroutine handle onto our list of waiting coroutine handles, and we're done.

To signal the event, we take the lock, mark the event as signaled, and swap out the vector of waiting coroutine handles for an empty list. These coroutine handles are now ready: We iterate over the vector and resume each one.

This works relatively well, except that once you have a large number of waiting coroutines (say, because initialization is taking a really long time), the push\_back on the vector might take a long time if the vector needs to be reallocated. The operation is still amortized *O*(1), but the per-instance cost can be as high as *O*(*n*).

Furthermore, the push\_back can throw an exception due to low memory (note that await\_suspend is not marked noexcept ).

We'll address both of these issues next time.

<sup>1</sup> I always have to pause to think whenever I get to the return statements in the  $a$ wait ready and await suspend methods, because the return values have opposite sense. I have to remember that you want to "suspend if not ready".

[Raymond Chen](https://devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/author/oldnewthing) **Follow**

